

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI  
2 First Assistant United States Attorney  
3 ALEXANDER B. SCHWAB  
4 Assistant United States Attorney  
5 Acting Chief, Criminal Division  
6 JOHNPAUL LECEDRE (Cal. Bar No. 303100)  
7 Assistant United States Attorney  
8 Post-Conviction and Special Litigation Section  
9 1400 United States Courthouse  
10 312 North Spring Street, 14<sup>TH</sup> floor  
11 Los Angeles, California 90012  
12 Telephone: (213) 894-4447  
13 Facsimile: (213) 894-0142  
14 E-mail: johnpaul.lecedre@usdoj.gov

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
18 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

20 No. 2:25-CR-00503-BFM

21 Plaintiff,

22 ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND  
FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME  
PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL  
ACT

23 v.

24 RUSSELL GOMEZ DZUL,  
25 a.k.a "Russell Ozul Gomez,"  
a.k.a "Russell Dzul Gomez,"

26 Defendant.

27 **TRIAL DATE: 01/12/2026**

28 **NOTE CHANGES MADE BY COURT**

29  
30 The Court has read and considered the Amended Stipulation  
31 Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings  
32 of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the  
33 parties in this matter on October 31, 2025. The Court hereby finds  
34 that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into  
35 this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the  
36 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of  
37 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

1       The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by  
2 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and  
3 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance  
4 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,  
5 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the  
6 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel  
7 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for  
8 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
9 diligence.

10       THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

11       1.    The jury trial in this matter is set for **January 12, 2026**,  
12 **at 8:30 a.m.** A final pretrial conference is set for **January 6, 2026**,  
13 **at 1 p.m.** A hearing on the pending pretrial motions is reset for  
14 **November 12, 2025, at 11:00 a.m.** The deadline for motions in limine,  
15 set in the Criminal Trial Standing Order, is extended to **December 22**,  
16 **2025**. Responses to motions in limine are due **no later than December**  
17 **29, 2025**. All other provisions of the Criminal Trial order remain in  
18 place.

19       2.    The Court orders that the time period of November 20, 2025,  
20 to January 12, 2026, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time  
21 within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C.  
22 §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).

23       3.    Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other  
24 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time  
25 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must  
26 commence.

27       //

28       //

1       4. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of  
2 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of  
3 additional time periods from the period within which trial must  
4 commence.

5       IT IS SO ORDERED.

6       OCTOBER 31, 2025

7       DATE



---

HONORABLE BRIANNA FULLER MIRCHEFF  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11     Presented by:

12     /s/ JohnPaul LeCedre

13     JOHNPAUL LECEDRE

14     Assistant United States Attorney

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28